# Case 2:16-cv-01950-CM-LLDOOWER SHFFIED 04/25/16 Page 1 of 15

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	•		,		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS United States of America			DEFENDANTS John Longacre		
			(See Attached list of I	Defendants)	
(E)	(E.D. Pa.) uite 1250			of First Listed Defendant <u>I</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ON IN LAND CONDEMNATION CATHE TRACT OF LAND INVOLV	ASÉS, USE THE LOCATION OF
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITI	L IZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL PARTIES (Plac	ce an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item I		en of Another State	2	
			en or Subject of a  reign Country	3	6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
CONTRACT	TORTS	F(	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 365 Personal 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmac Slander Slander 1340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Personal 370 Other Personal 370 Other Personal 371 Truth in 380 Other Personal	INJURY   62 Injury - Liability   69 are/ eutical   Injury   Liability   79 BROPERTY   71 aud   72 aud   74 Lending   75 Liability   75 ETITIONS   75 pus: etainee to Vacate   76 character   76 character	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 10 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	Confiner				
🔯 1 Original 🔲 2 Rei	moved from 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court			sferred from \( \square\) 6 Multidist her District Litigation (f/y)	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which 42 USC12181-12189 (ADA), and 28 C Brief description of cause: Civil Action to redress discrimination of the control	CFR 36.503			required by the ADA
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS AC UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$		f demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 04/25/2016	SIGNATURE C	OF ATTORNEY OF	RECORD	Jacqueline C.	Romero, AUSA
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT APPLYING	G IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE

John Longacre, c/o South Philadelphia Tap Room, 1509 Mifflin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145;

South Philadelphia Tap Room, 1509 Mifflin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145;

Longacre Holdings, LLC, 1509 Mifflin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145;

**LPMG Management Company**, 1621 McKean Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145 and 1928 S. Bancroft Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145;

LPMG Construction Management LLC, 1621-23 McKean Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145;

LPMG Financial, 1826 Ridge Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19130;

Longacre Property Management Group, 1826 Ridge Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19130;

Citywide Properties One, Inc., 1826 Ridge Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19130

# Case 2:16-cv-01950-CMR Document 1 Filed 04/25/16 Page 3 of 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: c/o U.S. Attorney's Office, 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106	
Address of Defendant: 1509 Mifflin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia, PA  (Use Reverse Side For Addi	tional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No ✓
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No 🗸
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	<del></del>
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year p	previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes No ✓
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit action in this court?	pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes  No ✓
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier num	bered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes No 🗸
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights ca	ase filed by the same individual?
	Yes No ✓
CIVIL: (Place V in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify)	
ADDITD ATION CEDITIE	ICATION
ARBITRATION CERTIF	
I, Jacqueline C. Romero , counsel of record do hereby certify:	
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and beli	ief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 04/25/2016 Jacqueline C. Romero, AUSA	
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there h	has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or wit	hin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	J providence action in the court
1 - then	
DATE: 04/25/2016 Jacqueline C. Romero, AUSA	0.1505-001
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA,	: . :				
	Plaintiff v.	: : CIVIL ACTION NO. :				
TAP I LPMC CONS LPMC PROP	LONGACRE; SOUTH PHILADELPHIA: ROOM; LONGACRE HOLDINGS, LLC; MANAGEMENT COMPANY; LPMG STRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC; FINANCIAL; LONGACRE ERTY MANAGEMENT GROUP; WIDE PROPERTIES ONE, INC.,	: : : : : : : : : :				
	Defendants.	:				
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.						
SELE	CT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:				
(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.	C. § 2241 through § 2255.	( )			
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (			( )			

(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ( ) (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ( ) (e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ( ) (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X) Yacqueline C. Romero, AUSA April 25, 2016 Plff, USA Date Attorney-at-law Attorney for (215) 861-8470 (215) 861-8618 jacqueline.romero@usdoj.gov Telephone **FAX Number** E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	
	Plaintiff	: : : CIVIL ACTION NO.	
	v.	:	
TA LP CO LP PR	HN LONGACRE; SOUTH PHILADELPHIA: P ROOM; LONGACRE HOLDINGS, LLC; MG MANAGEMENT COMPANY; LPMG INSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC; MG FINANCIAL; LONGACRE OPERTY MANAGEMENT GROUP; TYWIDE PROPERTIES ONE, INC.,  Defendants.	: : : : : :	
pla cor In t wit Ma ass	accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Denplaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § the event that a defendant does not agree with the phits first appearance, submit to the clerk of court an agement Track Designation Form specifying the igned.	esignation Form in all civil cases at the tind 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse solaintiff regarding said designation, that dand serve on the plaintiff and all other partrack to which that defendant believes the	me of filing the ide of this form.) lefendant shall, rties, a Case
SE	LECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MA	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a)	Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.	S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	( )
(b)	(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.		( )
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated Rule 53.2.	for arbitration under Local Civil	( )
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for person exposure to asbestos.	al injury or property damage from	( )
(e)	Special Management – Cases that do not fall commonly referred to as complex and that ne the court. (See reverse side of this form for a management cases.)	ed special or intense management by	( )

April 25, 2016

Date
(215) 861-8470

Telephone

April 25, 2016

Jacqueline C. Romero, AUSA
Attorney for
jacqueline.romero@usdoj.gov
E-Mail Address

(X)

Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.

(Civ. 660) 10/02

(f)

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

•

CIVIL ACTION NO.

JOHN LONGACRE; SOUTH PHILADELPHIA: TAP ROOM; LONGACRE HOLDINGS, LLC; LPMG MANAGEMENT COMPANY; LPMG CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC; LPMG FINANCIAL; LONGACRE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT GROUP; CITYWIDE PROPERTIES ONE, INC.,

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff the United States of America (the "United States"), by its attorney Zane David Memeger, United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, alleges as follows:

- 1. This is a civil action to redress discrimination on the basis of disability in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181-12189 ("ADA"), and its implementing regulations, 28 C.F.R. Part 36.
- 2. The United States brings this civil action pursuant to Section 12188(b)(1)(B) of the ADA and 28 C.F.R. § 36.503 because the United States has reasonable cause to believe that the South Philadelphia Tap Room has discriminated against people with disabilities by failing to remove barriers to accessibility as required by the ADA.

### **PARTIES**

3. Plaintiff is the United States of America.

- 4. Defendant South Philadelphia Tap Room is a bar and restaurant located at 1509 Mifflin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145 ("Tap Room").
- Defendant Longacre Holdings, LLC ("Longacre Holdings") is a company also
   with a business address at 1509 Mifflin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145.
- Defendant LPMG Management Company ("LPMG Management") is a company with business addresses at 1621 McKean Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145 and 1928 S. Bancroft Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145.
- 7. Defendant LPMG Construction Management LLC ("LPMG Construction") is a company with a business address at 1621-23 McKean Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145.
- 8. Defendant LPMG Financial ("LPMG Financial") is a company with a business address at 1826 Ridge Avenue, 2nd Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19140.
- 9. Defendant Longacre Property Management Group ("Longacre Property Management") is a company also with a business address at 1826 Ridge Avenue, 2nd Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19130.
- 10. Defendant Citywide Properties One, Inc. ("Citywide") is a company also with a business address at 1826 Ridge Avenue, 2nd Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19130.
- 11. Upon information and belief, defendant John Longacre ("Longacre") is the President and/or Owner of the Tap Room, Longacre Holdings, LPMG Management, LPMG Construction, LPMG Financial, Longacre Property Management, and Citywide.
- 12. Upon information and belief, defendants Longacre, Tap Room, Longacre Holdings, LPMG Management, LPMG Construction, LPMG Financial, Longacre Property Management, and Citywide own and operate the Tap Room and the building in which the Tap Room operates at 1509 Mifflin Street, Philadelphia, PA 19145.

- 13. The Tap Room facility is a "place of public accommodation" within the meaning of Title III of the ADA because its operations affect commerce and, among other things, it is "a restaurant, bar or other establishment serving food or drink." 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7)(B); see C.F.R. § 36.104. Defendants Longacre, Longacre Holdings, LPMG Management, LPMG Construction, LPMG Financial, Longacre Property Management, and Citywide are therefore "public accommodation[s]" within the meaning of Title III of the ADA. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181(7)(B), 12182(a); 28 C.F.R. § 36.104.
- 14. Upon information and belief, 1509 Mifflin Street was renovated by defendants in 2003 for its current use as the Tap Room.
- 15. Defendants' renovation of 1509 Mifflin Street in 2003 was an "alteration" as defined by the ADA. See 28 C.F.R. § 36.402(b).

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 16. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to § 12188(b)(1)(B) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345.
- 17. Venue lies in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The acts of discrimination alleged in this Complaint occurred in this District, and the Tap Room is situated in this District.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

The Compliance Review and the Government's Attempts to Contact the Tap Room

18. Section 12188(b)(1)(A)(i) of the ADA provides that the "Attorney General . . . shall undertake periodic reviews of compliance of covered entities under this Title."

- 19. On March 11, 2015, the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania announced an ADA compliance review of certain restaurants in this District pursuant to Section 12188(b)(1)(A)(i) of the ADA.
  - 20. The Tap Room was one of the restaurants included in the compliance review.
- 21. As part of the compliance review, the United States Attorney's Office mailed survey forms concerning ADA compliance to the Tap Room on March 6, 2015. A United States Postal Service certified mail return receipt form shows that the survey was received and signed for by someone at the Tap Room address on March 9, 2015. Defendants did not respond to the survey.
- 22. On April 16, 2015, the United States Attorney's Office redelivered the survey and letters to the Tap Room via hand delivery. The survey and letters were received and signed for on that day by a Tap Room employee. Although the letter accompanying this delivery requested that defendants respond by April 21, 2015, defendants did not respond to the survey.
- 23. On May 8, 2015, an Assistant United States Attorney spoke to defendant Longacre regarding the survey and previous correspondence. Defendant Longacre requested that the Government forward the previous correspondence and survey to him via email. An email attaching the survey and the letters was sent to defendant Longacre that same day. Defendant Longacre never responded, and no representative of the Tap Room responded. Defendant Longacre also did not respond to a second email sent on June 3, 2015.
- 24. The United States Attorney's Office sent a letter to defendant Longacre at LPMG Management on August 18, 2015 listing some of the barriers to accessibility and informing him that defendants were required to remove the architectural barriers to access. The letter was hand delivered and signed for by an LPMG Management employee. Defendants did not respond.

- 25. The United States Attorney's Office sent the August 18, 2015 letter to defendant Longacre via email on September 11, 2015. Defendants did not respond.
- 26. As set forth more fully below, the United States Attorney's Office's has information and belief that defendants are operating the Tap Room facility in violation of the ADA.

### The Tap Room's Barriers to Accessibility

- 27. The Tap Room is a one-story restaurant with upper and ground level dining areas.

  The two dining area levels are connected by stairs within the restaurant.
- 28. Numerous architectural barriers at the Tap Room prevent or restrict access by individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.
- 29. Barriers to access that exist within the Tap Room include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) The main customer entrance to the Tap Room is a corner entry facing South Hicks Street and Mifflin Street. This entrance is one step up (approximately 6 inches) from the sidewalk. There is also no signage indicating an accessible entrance to the Tap Room. See 1991 Standards, §§ 4.1.2(7)(c), 4.1.6(1)(h), 4.30.1, 4.30.2, 4.30.3 and 4.30.5; see 2010 Standards, §§ 202.3, 206, 216.6 and 703.5.
  - (b) The entrance door facing South Hicks Street and Mifflin Street does not provide a clear width of 32 inches minimum. See 2010 Standards §§ 206.4, 206.5.1, 404.2.3.
  - (c) The entrance door has a thumb latch which is not easy to grasp with one hand and which requires tight grasping and tight pinching contrary to §§ 4.1.3(7)

- and 4.13.9 of the 1991 Standards and contrary to §§ 206.5.2, 404.2.7 and 309.4 of the 2010 Standards. Handles, pulls, latches, locks and other operable parts on doors must operate with one hand and not require tight grasping, pinching or twisting of the wrist. *See* 2010 Standards §§ 206.5.2, 404.2.7, and 309.4.
- (d) The women's and men's toilet rooms lack a permanent room identification sign identifying the toilet rooms in raised characters and Braille at 48 inches minimum height next to the doors of the toilet rooms. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.3(16)(a), 4.30.1, 4.30.4, 4.30.5 and 4.30.6; see 2010 Standards §§ 216.2 and 703.1-703.5.
- (e) The clear opening width of the entrance doors to both the women's and men's toilet rooms is less than 32 inches. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.3(7)(b), 4.13.5 and Fig. 24(a); see 2010 Standards §§ 206.5.2 and 404.2.3.
- (f) The entry door hardware on both the men's and women's toilet room doors consists of knobs which require tight grasping, pinching, or twisting of the wrist. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.6(b) and 4.13.9; see 2010 Standards §§ 206.5.2, 404.2.7 and 309.4.
- (g) Both the men's and women's toilet rooms lack a minimum of 60 inches deep by 18 inches wide of maneuvering clearance on the latch side of the door for a forward approach to pull open the doors. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.3(7)(b), 4.13.6 and Fig. 25(a); see 2010 Standards §§ 206.5.2 and 404.2.4.
- (h) A minimum 60-inch diameter or a T-shaped turning space is not provided inside either of the men's or women's toilet rooms. See 1991 Standards

- §§ 4.1.3(11), 4.22.3, 4.2.3 and Fig. 3; see 2010 Standards §§ 213.2, 603.2.1 and 304.
- (i) The clearance around the toilet in both the women's and the men's toilet rooms is not at least 48 inches measured perpendicular from the side wall and 66 inches measured perpendicular from the rear wall. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.3(11), 4.22.3, 4.22.4, 4.16.2 and Fig. 28.
- (j) The bottom edge of the reflecting surface of the mirrors in both the men's and women's toilet rooms is more than 40 inches above the finish floor. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.3(11), 4.22.6, 4.19.6 and Fig. 31; see 2010 Standards §§ 213.3.5 and 603.3.
- (k) The hot water supply and drain pipes under the sinks in the men's and women's toilet rooms are not insulated or otherwise configured to prevent contact. See 1991 Standards § 4.1.3(11), 4.22.6, and 4.19.4; see 2010 Standards §§ 213.3.4 and 606.5.
- (1) An accessible water closet with clear floor space and grab bars is not provided. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.3(11), 4.22.4, 4.16 and 4.26; see 2010 Standards §§ 213.3.2, 604 and 609.
- (m)An accessible lavatory (sink) with front approach clear floor space is not provided. See 1991 Standards §§ 4.1.3(11), 4.22.4, 4.19 and Fig. 31; see 2010 Standards §§ 213.3.2, 305, and 606.
- 31. It would be readily achievable for defendants to remove some or all of the barriers to access at the Tap Room.

- 32. Defendants have failed to remove some or all of the barriers to access at the Tap Room.
- 33. To the extent that some it is not readily achievable to remove some barriers at the Tap Room, Defendants have failed to make the Tap Room facility's goods and services available through alternative methods that are readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(2)(A)(v); 28 C.F.R. § 36.305.
- 34. The barriers to accessibility at the Tap Room facility cause the Tap Room facility's elements, spaces or common arears and the paths of travel to these areas to fail to be readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities to the maximum extent feasible.

  42 U.S.C. § 12183; 28 C.F.R. §§ 36.402-406.

#### **CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 35. Plaintiff repeats paragraphs 1 through 34 as if set forth fully herein. Defendants, by and through their actions and omission, have discriminated, on the basis of disability, in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations, by:
  - a. failing to remove architectural barriers to access where it is readily achievable to do so; and
  - b. making alterations that are not readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities.
- 36. Defendants' failure to remove the barriers to access constitutes unlawful discrimination against a person or group of persons that raises an issue of general public importance within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(1)(B)(ii) and 28 C.F.R. § 36.503(b).

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that this Court enter judgment:

- A. Declaring that Defendants have violated Title III of the ADA and its implementing regulation;
- B. Ordering Defendants to remove all violations of Title III of the ADA at the Tap Room, including but not limited to, the violations set forth above;
- C. Compensating persons aggrieved, and assessing a civil penalty against Defendants in an amount authorized by 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(2)(C); 28 C.F.R. § 36.504(a)(3), to vindicate the public interest; and
  - D. Granting such other relief as the interests of justice may require.

By:

Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

ZANE DAVID MEMEGER

United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

By:

MARGARET L. HUTCHINSON

CHARLENE KELLER FULLMER

JACQUELINE C. ROMERO

JOHN T. CRUTCHLOW

Assistant United States Attorneys

615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250

Philadelphia, PA 19106

Tel: (215) 861-861-8200

Fax: (215) 861-8618

Dated: april 25, 2016